

EPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Offic

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

エり

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INV	ENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
	09/103,74	5 06/24/	98 AGRAWAL		S	475.08.642CI	
Γ	-		HM12/0606	一	EXAMINER		
	WAYNE A KEOWN		UNITY\0000	M41270000		WANG, A	
	HALE AND				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	60 STATE BOSTON MA		• •		1635	8	
					DATE MAILED:	06/06/00	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks



FILE

Application No. 09/103,745

Applicant(s)

Agrawal

Office Action Summary

Examiner

Andrew Wang Group Art Unit

X Responsive to communication(s) filed on Mar 9, 2000							
X This action is FINAL .							
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.							
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extension 37 CFR 1.136(a).	to respond within the period for response will cause the						
Disposition of Claims							
	is/are pending in the application.						
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
Claim(s)	is/are allowed.						
	is/are rejected.						
Claim(s)							
☐ Claims							
Application Papers							
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948.							
The drawing(s) filed on is/are object							
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is approved disapproved.						
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).							
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been							
☐ received. ☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)							
,							
received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *Certified copies not received:							
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priori							
Attachment(s)							
☐ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892							
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s).							
☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413	40						
□ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948							
☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152							
	TUE FOLLOWING BACES						
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES							

Application/Control Number: 09/103,745 Page 2

Art Unit: 1635

DETAILED ACTION

1. The request filed on March 9, 2000 for a Continued Prosecution Application (CPA) under 37 CFR 1.53(d) based on parent Application No. 09/103,745 is acceptable and a CPA has been

established. An action on the CPA follows.

2. Claims 1-3 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double

patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-7 of U.S. Patent No. 5,856,462 for the same

reasons of record as set forth in the Office action mailed September 9, 1999.

Applicants have not provided any arguments addressing the rejection of record.

3. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for

failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as

the invention for the same reasons of record as set forth in the Office action mailed September 9,

1999.

Applicants have not provided any arguments addressing the rejection of record.

4. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while

being enabling for the reduced side effects for the CpG oligos having the modifications listed in

claim 1 and/or shown in Example 2, does not reasonably provide enablement for CpG oligos

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/103,745

Art Unit: 1635

having simply phosphorothioate linkages as in claim 1. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims for the same reasons of record as set forth in the Office action mailed September 9, 1999.

Applicants have not provided any arguments addressing the rejection of record.

Claims 3 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the 5. specification, while being enabling for methods in cells in culture and for reduced side effects for the CpG oligos having the modifications listed in claim 2 and/or shown in Example 2, does not reasonably provide enablement for methods in whole organisms and for CpG oligos having simply phosphorothioate linkages as in claim 1. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims for the same reasons of record as set forth in the Office action mailed September 9, 1999.

Applicants have not provided any arguments addressing the rejection of record.

Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being clearly anticipated by Krieg et 6. al. (WO 96/02555 or Antisense or Nucleic Acid Drug Devel.) for the same reasons of record as set forth in the Office action mailed September 9, 1999.

Applicants have not provided any arguments addressing the rejection of record.

Application/Control Number: 09/103,745 Page 4

Art Unit: 1635

7. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Krieg *et al.* (Nature) for the same reasons of record as set forth in the Office action mailed September 9, 1999.

Applicants have not provided any arguments addressing the rejection of record.

8. All claims are drawn to the same invention claimed in the parent application prior to the filing of this Continued Prosecution Application under 37 CFR 1.53(d) and could have been finally rejected on the grounds and art of record in the next Office action. Accordingly, **THIS**ACTION IS MADE FINAL even though it is a first action after the filing under 37

CFR 1.53(d). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37

CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/103,745

Art Unit: 1635

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrew Wang whose telephone number is (703) 306-3217. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday from 7:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, George Elliott, can be reached on (703) 308-4003. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 308-4242.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Andrew Wang May 30, 2000 Andrew Wang Patent Examiner Technology Center 1600

George C. Elliott, Ph.D.
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 1600